

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/590,717	05/21/2008	Fuminori Kaneko	0020-5509PUS1	2532	
2292 7599 10/15/2099 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			ROBINSON, DANIEL LEON		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3742		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/15/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/590,717 KANEKO ET AL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit DANIEL L. ROBINSON 3742 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-5.9.10 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6-8 and 11-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4 Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 9
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
Notice

Attachment(s)

Application/Control Number: 10/590,717

Art Unit: 3742

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6-8, and 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 6 recites "a controller that detects" this term is vague since a detector can detect and a controller can control but it is unclear how a controller can detect. Claim 6 also recites "the heater has been exposed from the water" this is vague since normal usage of the term would be exposed to something not exposed from something.

Flection/Restrictions

Newly submitted claim 18 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: No patentable weight is given to the preamble of claim 6 since there is recitation of "steam" or "generator" in the body of the claim. Claim 6 is not therefore a steam generator.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 18 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,717

Art Unit: 3742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-8 and 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Su(U.S.Pat.5,458,050) in view of Yang(U.S.Pat.6,310,322) and Alvarez(U.S.Pat.5,479,707).

Su discloses a multi-purpose cooker that shows all the features of the claimed invention but fails to show a plurality of judging devices. Yang discloses a heated roller and heated roller assembly that shows a plurality of devices and a circuit for automatically controlling a surface temperature of each roller having a control roller disposed adjacent to the fourality of rollers, wherein the control roller includes a thermistor for detecting the surface temperature of the control roller and a thermistor for terminating power to each roller when the surface temperature of the control roller exceeds a predetermined surface temperature, and a comparation responsive to the thermistor for adjusting the power applied to the heating element of each roller.

It would have been obvious to use a plurality of judging devices as taught by Yang with the device of Su so as to control a plurality of rollers.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,717

Art Unit: 3742

Su in view of Yang does not show a self heating thermistor used as a water level sensor separated from a heater by a plate, or a controlled pump. Alvarez discloses a method of making an integrally formed modular ice cuber having a stainless steel evaporator and a microcontroller that explicitly shows using a self heating thermistor as a water level sensor acontolled pump and a plate. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to use a self heating thermistor as a water level sensor because the self heating thermistor has a dramatic change in resistance depending on weather it is submerged or not. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to separate the sensor from the heater and to control a pump so as to provide hysteresis for the controlled system and to the controlled can turn the pump on when the fill valves is open.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3742

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sham and Robertson are cited to show structure or methods similar to the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL L. ROBINSON whose telephone number is (571)272-4788. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 5:30-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu B Hoang can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,717 Page 6

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

dlr/Daniel L Robinson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742